G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Edn 12-21-244
CB 36-19-67, 73, 76.

File No. 213/21

1921.

Serial Nos. /

(CB)

Later references.

CB 247-21-102
CB 83-22-102

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. /

Part B for April 1922

SUBJECT.

Notes regarding the grant of Privilege Leave to officers under orders of retirement.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 213 of 1921.

Serial No.

Extract from the note recorded by the Council on 4.2.22 in connection with the question of the 'Retention in service of Mr. D.S. Govinda Rao, Dy: Conservator of Forests, till the end of June 22 and the grant of 4 months' privilege leave to him from 1.7.22. — (CB 152-21 SS 1-4).

× × ×

"The question of allowing privilege leave to officers under orders of retirement, when application is made after orders are issued to be examined"

Initd. K.M.
4.2.22

× × ×

This should be worked up-

Initd. K.M.
10.2.22

Submitted with the following references:-

(i) F.D. No. Fl. 753-801/Sett A 130-20-16 dt 11.8.21 regulating the grant of leave after 55 years [Article 150 A (revised)]

(ii) CB File No. 36-19 SS 67, 73 & 76 regarding leave after retirement to Mr. M. Lakshmanarao

(iii) Esst File No. 12-21 SS 2 & 14 declining to sanction leave to Messrs. C.M. Vijayaraghavan & M. Ramanarayanaiya after retirement

15/2/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

~~Expenses~~ Re-imposing the rule as to previous notice being given by officers requiring leave &c

P 8/2

Submitted with Fo No. G. 2929-79/Sec. 264-17-1 of 31st August 1917.

Recd 18/9/22

Article 150 A - M.S.R (Revised leave Rules) provides for leave being granted to officers who have attained the age of 55 years - in exceptional cases when leave has been deferred in the public interests.

Strictly speaking the above rule must be taken as applying only to officers who have in the usual course applied for leave giving the usual intimation of going on leave as required by Fo No. G. 2929-79/G. Sec. 264-17-1 of 31-8-17 & in whose cases leave has been refused by Govt. in the interests of work.

The practice at present, however, appears to be for officers to apply for the privilege leave they are entitled to, preparatory to retirement after they receive definite information of their retirement. If in such cases the Heads of

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

of Depts. are not in favour of the leave being granted, then the same will have to be sanctioned from the dates on which they are due to retire.

This practically amounts to the services of the officers being extended during the period of the leave saved. It also in a way amounts to an evasion of the rules laid down in art. 150 A M&S.

In this connection kind reference is invited to the Dewan's order dt. 23-8-21 on page 7 of rules in Edn. File No. 12 V 1521 & 2414 on the question of the grant of leave preparatory to retirement to Messrs. M. Ramaswamiah & C.M. Vijayaraghavachar (of the Edn. dept.).

It appears therefore necessary to clearly define the cases in which "leave may be considered to have been deferred in the public interests."

Noted hm 22/2/22

If leave has been applied for in the ordinary course in the manner & any of the preceding 2 refused, the concession might be allowed. Not otherwise (with regrets) 23/2

1692-21/76

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.R File No. 213 of 1921

Serial No.

The procedure suggested above is in accordance with the provisions of Art 150 A newly introduced and has to be adopted in all cases.

mad 23/2/22

2029 23/2

Fe Secy)

I am not sure if an order of the kind proposed is called for. If such an order is issued, the result will, in all probability, be that all officers who are expecting to be retired will apply for the whole leave due to them in the month of January or July preceding so that they may have the leave either while in servic or preparatory to retirement. Such result is obviousl undesirable. The concession permitted as an exception al by Article 150 A. should be confined only to cases of officers who feel the need for leave in the usual course but cannot get it if the authorities competent to sanction it refuse to grant it in the public interests. In cases in which the leave sanctioning authority is Government, the proof of refusal should be an order of that authority refusing to sanction leave on an application actually submitted to Government. In other cases, the Head of the Department should report to Government the fact of his having refused to sanction leave applied for by an officer working under hir if

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 213 of 1921.

3277

Serial No.

692-24
C/3

if he intends to recommend the case for the concession under Article 150 A. [~~on the retirement of the applicant.~~]

Revd
3.3.22

(Chief Secretary)
Dewan)

4/3.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 4 1922
Reg. No. 4694

Perhaps Each case may be dealt with on its own merits
& no fixed rules are necessary
Please circulate

4/3

C.B. Circulate
Dm
11/3

CS
6/3/22

2nd Member
3rd Member)

4/3

4838-27
17.3.22

I agree with the H. Secy. No definite ruling is required which cases for. Each case will have to be dealt with on its own merits

Revd
15. 3.22

4521
18/3

Secy
Govt
20/3/22

To personal. Action as indicated above.

Dewan) J.S.P. 21.3. 4/3

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 21 1922
Reg. No. 4930

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

B

Records these papers Dm 24/3.